Hepatic Sinusoidal Obstruction Syndrome in Patients Undergoing Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant

Seth Eisenberg, RN, OCN®

**Purpose/Objectives:** To provide a comprehensive review of hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (HSOS) in patients receiving a hematopoietic stem cell transplant and to describe the implications for nursing care.

**Data Sources:** Published research articles, reviews, case reports, and books.

**Data Synthesis:** Disagreement exists regarding the precise cause of HSOS. Prevention and treatment strategies have emerged based on these causative theories. Few published resources are available for nursing assessment and intervention specific to HSOS, although symptom management strategies derived from other disease etiologies can be used successfully.

**Conclusions:** HSOS is a complex consequence of myeloablative chemoradiotherapy. Although the overall incidence is declining, research continues to explore better methods for prophylaxis and develop more efficacious treatment options.

**Implications for Nursing:** Nurses caring for patients receiving a hematopoietic stem cell transplant must comprehend the proposed etiologies for HSOS and be familiar with the manifestations of the syndrome. Symptom management requires a thorough understanding of affected organ systems.

Myeloablative hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) involves the administration of supralethal doses of chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy, followed by the infusion of peripheral blood stem cells, bone marrow, or umbilical cord blood. In addition to the expected hematologic toxicities, high-dose chemoradiotherapy can cause a potentially fatal liver condition referred to as hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (HSOS).

**Hepatic Anatomy and Physiology**

The liver is a highly vascular organ that receives its blood supply from two sources: approximately 30% from the hepatic artery and 70% from the portal vein (Jakubik, Cockerham, Altmann, & Grossman, 2003). Blood is filtered through the sponge-like structure of the hepatic parenchyma before entering the hepatic vein and returning to the vena cava. The liver is responsible for a number of crucial physiologic functions (see Figure 1), and disruption of these functions produces many of the signs and symptoms associated with HSOS.

**Key Points . . .**

- Hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (HSOS), also referred to as hepatic veno-occlusive disease, is a potentially life-threatening consequence of high-dose chemotherapy used in hematopoietic stem cell transplant that results in jaundice, weight gain, and painful hepatomegaly.
- HSOS can result in damage to the cardiovascular, pulmonary, renal, gastrointestinal, integumentary, and neurologic systems.
- Nurses need to understand the sequelae of hepatic damage and the rationale for current methods of preventing and treating these complications.
- Patients with HSOS require tremendous physical and psychosocial support.

**Hepatic Anatomy and Physiology**

The liver is a highly vascular organ that receives its blood supply from two sources: approximately 30% from the hepatic artery and 70% from the portal vein (Jakubik, Cockerham, Altmann, & Grossman, 2003). Blood is filtered through the sponge-like structure of the hepatic parenchyma before entering the hepatic vein and returning to the vena cava. The liver is responsible for a number of crucial physiologic functions (see Figure 1), and disruption of these functions produces many of the signs and symptoms associated with HSOS.

**Goal for CNE Enrollees**

To enhance nurses’ knowledge regarding hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (HSOS).

**Objectives for CNE Enrollees**

1. Define HSOS and identify risk factors for patients.
2. Recognize the symptoms of HSOS.
3. Identify nursing measures to support patients with HSOS.
Hepatic Microanatomy

The portal triad is comprised of branches of the portal vein, hepatic artery, and bile duct and makes up the basic functional unit of the liver. Each triad can be subdivided into discrete hexagonal sections known as lobules centered around a terminal hepatic vein (see Figure 2). Within the lobules are smaller triangular areas called acini that are further divided into zones representing metabolic regions increasingly distant from the afferent blood supply and closer to the central vein (see Figure 3).

Rows of hepatocytes form thin hepatic cords separated by narrow cavities known as sinusoids. Blood from the portal vein and hepatic artery mixes in the sinusoids and empties through the central vein before returning to the vena cava. Sinusoids are lined by fragile sinusoidal endothelial cells (SECs) that lack a basement membrane. The sinusoids are fenestrated, allowing plasma to flow freely into the space of Disse (see Figure 4). A delicate network of collagen fibers supports the SECs. Because of the fenestrations and the lack of a basement membrane, albumin flows outward between the hepatocytes and sinusoids. The sinusoids also are lined by phagocytic Kupffer cells that aid in the removal of pathogens.

A Controversial Syndrome

Disagreement exists regarding the name, pathophysiology, defining criteria for, and treatment of HSOS. The syndrome historically been known as hepatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD), a name that dates back a half century and was first applied to hepatic failure associated with the ingestion of Senecio tea, which contains pyrrolizidine alkaloids (Bras, Berry, & György, 1957). This hepatic toxicity resulted in a syndrome of painful hepatohepatic, fluid retention, increased serum bilirubin, and ascites. By the late 1970s, Shulman and colleagues noted a similar syndrome in patients who had received bone marrow transplants with high-dose chemoradiotherapy (McDonald, Sharma, Matthews, Shulman, & Thomas, 1984; Shulman et al., 1980). Autopsies revealed partial-to-complete obliteration of the terminal hepatic venules with central necrosis and damage to the sinusoids. Deposition of fibrin and factor VIII subsequently was identified by Bearman (1995). The presence of hypercoagulability with fibrin deposition in the terminal hepatic venules provided the rationale for keeping the name VOD, and has been the impetus for anticoagulant-based prophylaxis and treatment strategies.

The exact cause of liver damage following myeloablative therapy is subject to debate. In 1994, researchers noted that occlusion of the hepatic venules by fibrinous debris was not always present in autopsies of patients who had died from HSOS (Shulman, Fisher, Schoch, Henne, & McDonald, 1994). More recent research suggests that damage occurs first in the sinusoids rather than “downstream” in the terminal hepatic venules and, when present, venular occlusion is a consequence rather than a cause of the syndrome (DeLeve, Shulman, & McDonald, 2002). This theory would help explain why thrombolytic-based therapy has been inconsistently effective (DeLeve et al., 2003; Imran, Tleyjeh, Zirakzadeh, Rodriguez, & Khan, 2006).

In light of the newer information, DeLeve et al. (2002) proposed renaming the condition to HSOS, reflecting the theory that the syndrome arises in the sinusoids and not in the veins. Other researchers have supported this change in nomenclature (Helmy, 2006; Wingard, Nichols, & McDonald, 2004), although many clinicians continue to embrace the VOD label (Wadleigh, Ho, Monttaz, & Richardson, 2003).

Animal studies suggest that sinusoidal injury begins with rounding of the SECs that allows red cell embolization into the space of Disse (DeLeve et al., 2002; Wingard et al., 2004). Narrowing of the sinusoids results in decreased blood flow with subsequent hepatic congestion. Cellular debris created from the sloughing of SECs, red cell embolization, and injured hepatocytes leads to venular occlusion (Kumar, DeLeve, Kamath, & Tefferi, 2003) (see Figure 5). This process results in fibrin deposition, platelet aggregation, and possible thrombus formation seen in many (but not all) cases (see Figures 6 and 7). Approximately 14 days after myeloablative chemotherapy, deposits of extracellular matrix begin to fill the sinusoids. A marked increase in hepatic stellate cells along with the deposition of collagen eventually obliterates the sinusoids (DeLeve et al., 2002). A summary of this sinusoidal damage is found in Figure 8.

Although the differences in nomenclature (HSOS or VOD) are relevant in terms of mechanism of damage and medical treatment strategies, symptoms, risk factors, mortality, and supportive nursing care, needs are identical regardless of which name is used.

Defining Criteria

The diagnosis of HSOS is based on the Seattle (or modified Seattle) criteria or the Baltimore criteria and are compared in Table 1 (Bearman, 1995; Jones et al., 1987; McDonald et al., 1984). The Seattle criteria tend to be broader, whereas, generally, the Baltimore criteria capture more severe cases.
The use of differing criteria by various research and clinical groups contributes to the difficulty in determining incidence and evaluating treatment efficacy, because a patient meeting one set of criteria might not be given the diagnosis when the other set of criteria are used. In general, HSOS (or VOD) occurs during the time frame beginning immediately after chemoradiotherapy and up to 30 days after transplant, although later instances have been reported (Coppell, Brown, & Perry, 2003).

Sinusoidal Injury

Several mechanisms for sinusoidal damage have been identified; however, because of the complex nature of the syndrome, they are likely the result of a multifactorial chain of events.

Direct Injury From Cytotoxic Metabolites

Sinusoidal endothelial cells, particularly those in zone three of the acini, are susceptible to injury from the metabolites of chemotherapy. Depletion of glutathione, a naturally occurring antioxidant and chemoprotectant produced by hepatocytes, is implicated in causing HSOS (Brown et al., 1998; DeLeve, 1996; Ibrahim et al., 2004; McDonald et al., 2003).

Cyclophosphamide commonly is used in myeloablative conditioning regimens for bone marrow and stem cell transplant. Two cyclophosphamide metabolites, carboxyethylphosphoramide mustard (CEPM) and acrolein, are believed to play a major role in initiating the cascade of events leading to HSOS (Bearman, 2000; Kumar et al., 2003). Although total body irradiation (TBI) used in doses of 12–15 Gy does not cause HSOS, synergistic toxicity exists when TBI is given after cyclophosphamide (McDonald et al., 2003).

Oral busulfan also is used in conditioning regimens, frequently in conjunction with cyclophosphamide. Variations in serum concentrations commonly occur after the administration of busulfan, and a correlation between high serum levels and hepatic injury has been documented (Hassan et al., 2002; Russell et al., 2002). Busulfan traditionally has been administered prior to cyclophosphamide because the highly emetogenic effects of the latter make it difficult to complete four days of oral chemotherapy. Studies have shown that busulfan, when dosed appropriately by monitoring pharmacokinetic levels, has little hepatotoxicity by itself (DeLeve et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2003). However, even with careful dosing based on area under the curve, busulfan is believed to deplete glutathione stores, thus rendering the SECs more vulnerable to damage from the toxic cyclophosphamide metabolites (de Jonge, Huitema, Beijnen, & Rodenhuis, 2006; Kumar et al.). An IV formulation of busulfan has been available in the United States for several years. Some researchers have reported lower rates of HSOS with IV busulfan when compared to oral administration (Fisher, Barnes, & Nuss, 2006; Kashyap et al., 2002), although a recently published study did not support this finding (Cho et al., 2007). Compared to the oral route, IV busulfan has more predictable kinetics and may be given once daily instead of every six hours. Regardless of route, high-dose busulfan requires prophylaxis with phenytoin to prevent seizures. In busulfan-cyclophosphamide regimens, phenytoin increases the area under the curve of CEPM, therefore increasing cyclophosphamide toxicity. Furthermore, the relationship between hepatic toxicity and busulfan seems to be influenced by disease status (Cho et al.; McCune et al., 2007).

HSOS has been observed in autologous transplant recipients treated with a combination of busulfan, melphalan, and thiotepa. The incidence of HSOS with this regimen was reported by one site to be half that of cyclophosphamide-based regimens and tended to occur one to two weeks later in the transplant course (Lee, Gooley, Bensinger, Schiffman, & McDonald, 1999).
Cytokines

Cytokines have been implicated in contributing to SEC damage. Interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) are toxic to SECs. TNFα and IL-1β are released from endothelial cells and monocytes in the presence of cytotoxic drug metabolites and have procoagulant properties that initiate the clotting cascade and contribute to subsequent venular damage. Cytokine release results in platelet activation, further adding to the hypercoagulability often observed in HSOS (Coppell et al., 2003; Gharib, Bulley, Doyle, & Wynn, 2006; Kumar et al., 2003; Wadleigh, Ho, et al., 2003). Levels of transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) and endothelin-1 also are elevated immediately prior to the development of HSOS, although their exact role is not understood fully (DeLeve et al., 2002; Kumar et al.). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mediates numerous physiologic functions, most notably angiogenesis. VEGF is thought to be a marker for HSOS, and a positive correlation between increased levels and the development of HSOS has been observed (Iguchi et al., 2001).

Plasminogen activation inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), which is synthesized by endothelial cells, is stimulated by TNFα and IL-1β. PAI-1 blocks fibrinolysis, and increased levels serve as a specific marker for HSOS (Coppell et al., 2003; Gharib et al., 2006; Sartori et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2006).

Matrix Metalloproteinases

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are a group of enzymes capable of degrading cellular components. DeLeve et al. (2003) studied MMP-2 and MMP-9 and noted markedly increased levels in rats that had been given monocrotaline, a chemical known to reliably cause HSOS in animal models. When an MMP inhibitor was administered to rats along with monocrotaline, HSOS was prevented completely. No human studies have been published on MMP inhibitors in transplant recipients, although they are being examined for their potential antitumor effects (Hoekstra, Eskens, & Verweij, 2001).

Other factors implicated in the development of HSOS include decreased levels of protein C, antithrombin III (ATIII), hepatic nitric oxide, and the presence of lipopolysaccharides (DeLeve et al., 2003; Gharib et al., 2006; Iguchi et al., 2001). However, whether these are responsible for the chain of events or are a result of them is difficult to determine.

Diagnosis

Differentiating HSOS from other hepatic complications such as graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) or infection is important (Carreras, 2000) (see Table 2). No specific diagnostic studies or tests exist to diagnose HSOS accurately. Transjugular tissue biopsy, although safer than the percutaneous approach, poses a risk because HSOS usually occurs during a period of significant neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and clotting abnormalities associated with the syndrome itself (Lin, Tierney, & Stadtmauer, 1993; Tay, Tinmouth, Fergusson, Huebsch, & Allan, 2007). Ultrasound and computed tomography can demonstrate the presence of hepatic abnormalities but do not provide specific diagnostic differentiation in early HSOS and therefore have limited value (Coppell et al., 2003).

Laboratory tests are useful, but most are not specific for HSOS. Elevation in serum bilirubin is the major abnormal laboratory value (Bearman, 1995) and higher levels are associated with greater mortality (Gooley, Rajvanshi, Schoch, et al., 2003).
Elevated bilirubin levels are not specific to HSOS and may be caused by other factors such as total parenteral nutrition toxicity, GVHD, cyclosporine toxicity, or infection (Bearman, 1995; Helmy, 2006). The hepatic enzymes alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) are sensitive markers for hepatic injury and commonly are elevated in HSOS. Both also are seen in many other conditions. Although elevations in PAI-1 levels have been shown to be specific for HSOS, elevations can occur with sepsis (Nurnberger, Michelmann, Burdach, & Gobel, 1998), and not all laboratories are able to perform this test. Protein C and ATIII levels usually are decreased but have not proven to be accurate diagnostic indicators (DeLeve et al., 2002).

### Risk Factors

#### Conditioning Regimen

High-dose chemotherapy using cyclophosphamide with or without busulfan is a well-documented risk factor (Helmy, 2006). Wide interpatient variability in cyclophosphamide metabolism may explain why some patients proceed to develop HSOS and others do not (McDonald et al., 2003). Busulfan followed by cyclophosphamide also seems to have an effect on risk (McCune et al., 2007). Other chemotherapeutic agents have been implicated as risk factors and are listed in Figure 9. Although not used as a pretransplant preparative regimen, the anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody gemtuzumab ozogamicin has been shown to cause HSOS (Rajvanshi, Shulman, Sievers, & McDonald, 2002) and is a significant risk factor for patients proceeding to transplant (Wadleigh, Richardson, et al., 2003). Regimens containing TBI may increase risk (Carreras, 2000), although the incidence is lower than those with busulfan-cyclophosphamide regimens (Hartman, Williams, & Dillon, 1998). ABO incompatible platelet transfusions have been shown to be a risk factor in one study (Lapierre et al., 2005). A mechanism of action was not thoroughly described and the study has not been replicated.

Individual patient variables have an influence on risk. Glutathione is essential in the metabolism of many chemotherapeutic agents used in HSCT. Gene mutation, particularly polymorphisms of the glutathione S-transferase gene, can affect glutathione levels and lead to increased SEC toxicity (Coppell et al., 2003; Srivastava et al., 2004). Research in this area may prove beneficial in prescreening patients who are at higher risk for developing HSOS.

Acute GVHD may be a contributing factor because incidence of HSOS is lower in patients receiving syngeneic (twin) or T-cell depleted transplants when compared to mismatched and unrelated donors (Kumar et al., 2003). A direct causal effect may be difficult to establish because different conditioning regimens may be used.

#### Incidence

Until recently, the incidence of HSOS has varied from 0%–70% (Helmy, 2006). This large disparity is the result of differing diagnostic criteria; the lack of readily available, highly specific tests; and heterogeneous patient populations receiving a variety of conditioning regimens. For the past 10 years, the incidence has decreased to approximately 30% or less. Proposed explanations are summarized in Figure 10 (Wingard et al., 2004).

#### Prognosis

Survival can be predicted by plotting the rate of total bilirubin increase and weight gain during the first two weeks after transplant (Bearman et al., 1993). A substantial
increase in mortality is associated with elevations of more than 4 mg/dL serum bilirubin (Gooley et al., 2005). HSOS can be divided into three prognostic groups (McDonald et al., 1993). Mild HSOS resolves completely without specific interventions. Moderate HSOS requires careful supportive management and resolves in most patients. Severe HSOS does not resolve by 100 days after transplant or death. Historically, 90%–100% of patients with severe HSOS die, usually from renal failure, cardiopulmonary collapse, or gastrointestinal hemorrhage (Bearman et al., 1993; Deleve et al., 2002; Helmy, 2006). A comparison of prognostic groups can be found in Figure 11.

Prevention and Treatment

Heparin

Based on the theory that HSOS was caused by hypercoagulability, researchers used low-dose IV unfractionated heparin (UFH) or subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) alone or in combination with other drugs for prevention and treatment (Pegram & Kennedy, 2001). Imran et al. (2006) performed a comprehensive review of published studies using prophylactic heparin. Twelve were identified, for a combined total of 2,782 patients. Two randomized studies, one using UFH and one using LMWH, showed benefit but lacked sufficient power to be statistically significant for preventing severe HSOS (Attal et al., 1992; Or et al., 1996). The remaining 10 cohort studies showed no benefit or lacked power to demonstrate efficacy (Batsis et al., 2006; Carreras et al., 1998; Hagglund et al., 1998; Horn, Reiss, Matthay, McMillan, & Cowan, 2002; Marsa-Vila et al., 1991; Reiss, Cowan, McMillan, & Horn, 2002; Rosenthal et al., 1996; Simon et al., 2001). Based on these findings, the researchers concluded that a combined analysis was not meaningful and that definitive support of efficacy could not be made without future randomized, higher-powered studies.

A more recent nonrandomized study reported the results of prophylactic heparin on 85 pediatric patients. Fifty were given UFH; some also received lipoprostaglandin E1 (PGE1). Busulfan (either IV or oral) with cyclophosphamide was used in 61 patients. HSOS developed in 29% of patients. Twenty percent of those were classified as severe and all of them died. No difference was noted in the incidence of HSOS between patients receiving the oral or IV formulations of busulfan (Song, Seo, Moon, Ghim, & Im, 2006).

Despite these conflicting findings, clinicians may decide that prophylaxis with LMWH is appealing. All studies have shown the drug is well tolerated; however, the inconsistent results lend credence to the theory that hypercoagulability is not the primary cause in development of the disease and that other therapies are warranted (DeLeve et al., 2002).

Tissue Plasminogen Activator and Heparin

Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) and continuous infusion UFH have been studied for treatment of severe HSOS. One study reported a response rate of 28%, but 88% had bleeding complications. Six of the 42 patients subsequently died from hemorrhage (Bearman, Lee, Baron, & McDonald, 1997). Another study reported reversal of symptoms in 29%, with significant bleeding in 35% (Schriber et al., 1999). Pulmonary hemorrhage was the most common site. Researchers concluded that timing of therapy initiation could play a role in treatment efficacy. Litzow et al. (2002) reported using tPA and UFH in 10 patients with severe HSOS. Nine out of 10 died. Bajwa et al. (2003) treated 12 children with tPA and a lower dose of UFH, and eight responded. Bleeding events were minimal, which most likely reflects the lower dose of heparin when compared with prior studies (5 µ/kg per hour versus 150 µ/kg per hour).

Ursodiol (Ursodeoxycholic Acid)

Ursodiol, an animal bile salt, is thought to work by making endogenous bile acids more hydrophilic, thus decreasing
Table 2. Differential Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Also Seen With</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid weight gain</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sepsis syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epstein-Barr virus lymphoproliferative disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>Biliary infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute graft-versus-host disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cholestasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drug or total parenteral nutrition injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemolysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Based on information from Carreras, 2000; Cesaro, 2005; de Jonge et al., 2000; Hassan et al., 2002; Helmy, 2006; McCune, 2007; Reiss et al., 2002.

proinflammatory cytokine production. A recently published review of clinical trials using ursodiol for prevention examined six studies and included a total of 824 patients (Tay et al., 2007). Four studies were randomized clinical trials and one was double-blind. The authors concluded that ursodiol successfully reduced the incidence of HSOS. Although encouraging, one of the reviewed studies reported a 4% rate of HSOS in the control group, reflecting a conspicuous absence of cyclophosphamide from the conditioning regimens (Ruutu et al., 2002). Busulfan was only used in 17 of 242 patients. Therefore, the apparent benefit of ursodiol is difficult to interpret for patients at moderate or high risk for HSOS. Another study compared ursodiol plus UFH alone in 165 patients. The incidence of HSOS was not statistically different between the two groups (Park et al., 2002). Ursodiol is only available orally, which may make compliance difficult when patients have mucositis and nausea; however, it is extremely well tolerated and may be beneficial in decreasing hepatic GVHD (McDonald, 2006).

Antithrombin III and Prostaglandin E1

Levels of ATIII, a naturally occurring anticoagulant, decrease in patients developing HSOS (Haire et al., 1995). Several studies have used ATIII alone or in combination with other medications for prophylaxis but results have been mixed (Haire et al., 1998; Ibrahim et al., 2004; Patton et al., 1996). PGE1 has a vasodilatory effect, can inhibit platelet function, and can provoke thrombolysis (Bearman, 1995; Wadleigh, Ho, et al., 2003). One study demonstrated a reduction in HSOS when PGE1 was used compared to patients receiving UFH (Gluckman et al., 1990); however, a subsequent study by Bearman (1995) showed no benefit and demonstrated significant toxicity.

N-Acetylcysteine and Glutamine

N-acetylcysteine (NAC) is a thiol antioxidant that is thought to block the action of protein kinases and aid in glutathione synthesis (Ringden et al., 2000; Weiss et al., 2007). It has been used in Great Britain for treating paracetamol (acetaminophen) overdose and for preventing renal failure from contrast media (Weiss et al.). A case study of three patients with confirmed HSOS, who had failed tPA, demonstrated complete resolution after NAC infusions (Ringden et al.). One small cohort study of patients at high risk who were receiving busulfan and cyclophosphamide reported no incidence of HSOS when NAC was given prophylactically, although the protocol was not specifically designed to demonstrate efficacy (Sjoo et al., 2003).

The nonessential amino acid glutamine plays a key role in the regulation of the tripeptide glutathione. As an antioxidant, glutathione protects the sinusoids from reactive oxygen species (Hong, Rounds, Helton, Robinson, & Wilmore, 1992). Zone three of the acini has relatively low quantities of glutathione and is particularly vulnerable to chemotherapy metabolites and subsequent damage (Brown et al., 1998). Glutamine supplementation had a protective effect against hepatotoxicity in one double-blinded study, but because of the small sample size and various conditioning regimens used, the results are difficult to interpret (Brown et al.). A case study of two patients demonstrated complete resolution of HSOS after infusions of glutamine and vitamin E (Goringe et al., 1998). Neither patient had severe HSOS, and whether their symptoms would have resolved on their own is not known.

Charcoal Hemofiltration

Charcoal hemofiltration, first used in rat models during the 1980s, has been shown to remove bilirubin by adsorption. Two patients with biopsy-proven HSOS were treated successfully using this procedure (Tefferi et al., 2001); one had failed ursodiol and defibrotide treatment and the other also had diffuse alveolar hemorrhage. Filtration may remove endothelial toxins and activated coagulation factors. No studies have been conducted to support these initial findings, and what role charcoal hemofiltration can play as supportive therapy is unclear.

Defibrotide

The most promising agent for prevention and treatment of HSOS is the investigational drug defibrotide. Defibrotide is single-stranded polydeoxyribonucleotide derived from porcine mucosa (Corbacioglu et al., 2004). It possesses anti-inflammatory and antithrombotic properties and increases fibrinolysis without significant anticoagulant effects (Bairey et al., 2002;
• Increased use of nonmyeloablative transplant containing fludarabine (Hogan et al., 2004)
• Use of stem cells in place of marrow (Dey et al., 2007; Fisher et al., 1998)
• Increased use of pharmacokinetic dosing for busulfan (Lee et al., 2005)
• Treating patients sooner after diagnosis (DeLeve et al., 2002)
• Fewer patients with hepatitis C (DeLeve et al., 2002)
• Fewer dose-escalation studies (DeLeve et al., 2002)
• Generally healthier patient population (Kalayoglu-Besisik et al., 2005)

Figure 10. Reasons for Declining Incidence

Kalayoglu-Besisik et al., 2005). When administered via IV, defibrotide binds to the sinusoidal endothelium and up-regulates the release of endogenous tPA, PGE2, prostacyclin, and thrombomodulin (DeLeve et al., 2002; Helmy, 2006; Richardson et al., 1998).

Two small studies have examined the use of defibrotide for prophylaxis. None of the patients in either study developed HSOS, although the patients were heterogeneous in their diagnoses, risk factors, and conditioning regimens (Chalandon et al., 2004; Dignan et al., 2007). Initial studies using defibrotide for treatment of severe HSOS reported complete resolution in 36% of patients (Richardson et al., 2002). In another study, complete resolution was observed in 50% of patients, although a variety of prophylactic therapies (heparin, ursodiol, ATIII, L-glutamine, and ursodiol) had been used (Corbacioglu et al., 2004). Side effects of defibrotide are minor and include nausea, abdominal cramps, and mild hypotension (Kornblum et al., 2006). Although the use of defibrotide for severe HSOS has produced a marked improvement in survival from the less than or equal to 10% historical rates, additional therapies clearly still are needed.

Possible Complications and Associated Nursing Care

Few resources exist for the nursing care of patients with HSOS. The two most comprehensive articles were published in the 1980s (Ford, McClain, & Cunningham, 1983; Grandt, 1989), and a recent article describing the critical care implications of patients with HSCT briefly touched on the topic (Saria & Gosselin-Acomb, 2007). Despite the scarcity of research, nursing assessments, plans, and interventions can be directed toward management of each affected organ system because HSOS frequently results in multisystem organ failure (Haussmann et al., 2006). Figure 12 summarizes nursing interventions for HSOS.

Renal Complications

HSOS is the primary cause of renal failure within the first three weeks after transplant (Soubani, 2006). Often resembling hepatorenal syndrome (HRS), HSOS primarily is a result of vasoconstriction or inadequate glomerular perfusion (Han & Hzy, 2006; Zager, 1994). Albumin, which is produced by hepatocytes, exerts a strong oncotc pull by drawing fluids from the extracellular domain back into the vascular compartment (Peck & Griffith, 1988; Siconolfi, 1995). Hepatic dysfunction with resultant hypoalbuminemia leads to ascites and peripheral edema (Bixby, 2006; Metheny, 2000).

Renal function worsens as the kidneys attempt to correct for a hypovolemic state. Portal hypertension and venous outflow obstruction also contribute to ascites. Patients often complain of feeling full or bloated, and bowel sounds will be hypoactive or absent (Winkelman, 2004).

A ratio of 30:1 for blood urea nitrogen (BUN) to creatinine is common and typifies a “prerenal” condition (Tasota & Tate, 2000; Zager, 1994). A normal ratio is 20:1. This differs significantly from acute tubular necrosis in etiology and presentation, although eventually it can lead to tubular damage (Gines, Guervara, Arroyo, & Rodes, 2003; Wujcik, Ballard, & Camp-Sorrell, 1994). Hyponatremia is common and patients initially will present with normal or slightly decreased urine output. Urine will be dark yellow to brown in color with a specific gravity of more than 1.025 (Mudge & Carlson, 1992). Uremia causes weakness, fatigue, pruritus and can interfere with hemostasis (Chikotkas, Gunderman, & Oman, 2006; Stark, 1994). If hepatic function continues to deteriorate, renal function worsens accordingly and often requires hemodialysis.

Nursing management includes twice daily weights, daily abdominal girth measurement, and orhostatic blood pressures (Ezzone & Schmit-Pokorny, 2007; Ford et al., 1983; Keller, 2001; Wujcik et al., 1994). Abdominal girth should be measured across the same location on the patient’s abdomen. A permanent marker may be useful for this purpose. Because one of the goals of management is to minimize fluid retention, increasing weight or girth is associated with worsening HSOS and should be reported to the physician.

Orthostatic vital signs assist in determining intravascular volume status (Bradley & Davis, 2003; Roper, 1996). The patient must be able to tolerate lying flat, which may be difficult in the presence of large ascites or respiratory compromise. IV fluids should be restricted, although this poses a challenge because patients are hypovolemic. Repletion of volume with packed red blood cells has been used, but its effectiveness is not well supported (Keller, 2001; Wujcik et al., 1994). Without correcting the underlying hepatic dysfunction, albumin infusions may worsen the third space effects as a result of its oncotic pull. The overall benefit of blood transfusions remains controversial (Metheny, 2000). Gentle diuresis with spironolactone has been used in an effort to mobilize fluid (Ford et al., 1983; Grandt, 1989). Spironolactone has relatively few side effects and increases sodium and water excretion while sparing potassium. Unfortunately it only is available orally. Loop diuretics should be avoided because they will deplete vascular volume, further worsening the “prerenal” state (Ford et al.). Low-dose dopamine (1–2 mcg/kg per hour) has been used in an attempt to increase renal perfusion but has not been proven effective and can cause numerous side effects (Bellomo, Chapman, Finfer, Hickling, & Myburgh, 2000; Keller; Marik, 2002; Zager, 1994).

Accurate intake and output records are imperative. Nurses need to be aware of the volumes of IV medications and the
rate of infusions. Open communication with the pharmacy is crucial in ensuring medications are mixed in minimal volume without compromising stability. Concomitant administration of nephrotoxic medications (e.g., cyclosporine with amphotericin or an aminoglycoside) should be avoided whenever possible (Ford et al., 1983; Mudge & Carlson, 1992). Cyclosporine and tacrolimus levels should be monitored because these medications can worsen renal failure (Pegram & Kennedy, 2001).

Cardiovascular Complications

Cardiovascular complications are tied closely to renal function. Patients may be hypotensive or exhibit orthostatic hypotension related to intravascular depletion. Assess patient for fall risk and institute precautions accordingly. Uremia can irritate the pericardium, causing pericardial effusions with or without tamponade (Berg, 1990; Ford et al., 1983; Stark, 1994). Patients may complain of midsternal chest pain from a friction rub, which should be differentiated from esophagitis. The heart should be auscultated during inspiration and expiration while the patient is in a high Fowler’s position. Tamponade may be identified by the presence of a pulsus paradoxus. Hemodynamic instability often requires aggressive treatment with inotropic agents to maintain blood pressure.

Respiratory Complications

Respiratory compromise is caused by fluid overload, capillary leak, or aspiration pneumonia secondary to decreased mentation (Pierson, 2006; Soubani, 2006; Winkelman, 2004). Abdominal distention can contribute to hypoventilation by exerting pressure on the diaphragm. The reduced tidal volume results in tachypnea as the body attempts to compensate. Paracentesis may be indicated for improving ventilatory status and relieving pain (Bearman, 1995; Wadleigh, Ho, et al., 2003). Auscultate lungs for the presence of rales. Although oxygen saturations are useful in assessing hypoxia, they will not indicate carbon dioxide retention or pH abnormalities, in which case arterial blood gases will be necessary. Elevate the head of bed to facilitate optimal lung expansion. Many patients will require supplemental oxygen and, if deterioration continues, mechanical ventilation. Once ventilatory support is required, the prognosis is grim. Patients requiring intubation, who also have respiratory and either renal or hepatic failure, carry a mortality rate approaching 100% (Rubenfeld & Crawford, 1996).

Hematologic Complications

HSOS usually occurs during a period of thrombocytopenia. Elevations in BUN and serum bilirubin interfere with the normal coagulation pathways, further increasing the likelihood of bleeding (Chikotas et al., 2006; Grandt, 1989; Johnson & Quieett). Spontaneous bleeding from the nares, oral mucosa, and gastrointestinal tract can occur. In addition to platelets and packed red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma or fibrinogen may be needed to replace clotting factors (Ford et al., 1983; Wujcik et al., 1994). Bleeding potential also may be increased in patients receiving prophylactic UFH (Johnson & Quieett, 2004). Managing fluids and medications along with an increase in blood product support can pose a challenge, even with multiple-lumen catheters, because oliguric or anuric patients cannot tolerate large quantities of fluids.

Integumentary Complications

Ascites and edema increase the risk of injury and skin breakdown. Maintaining skin integrity becomes paramount. Discuss with the patient and family the importance of keeping feet elevated whenever possible. Protective footwear should be encouraged to decrease the likelihood of trauma from stepping on or colliding with hard objects when ambu-
lating (e.g., IV pole wheels). Meticulous skin care is needed. Skin should be patted dry and not rubbed (McConn, 1987).

Urea and bilirubin cause pruritis (Bosonnet, 2003; Chikotas et al., 2006; Winkelman, 2004). Scratching should be discouraged because of increased risk of bleeding and infection. Antihistamines such as diphenhydramine or hydroxyzine are not usually effective. Chlorpheniramine, clemastine, or cyproheptadine may be used, particularly at night because of their sedative effects. Newer antihistamines such as loratadine, fexofenadine, or cetirizine may be effective but have not been studied in this population (Rhiner & Slatkin, 2006). The 5-HT3 receptor antagonist ondansetron has been successfully used for pruritis in non-HSOS hepatic failure and may be beneficial (Bosonnet). Naloxone hydrochloride also has been used to treat hepatic-induced pruritis, although its usefulness in this setting may be limited by concomitant parenteral opiate analgesia (Bosonnet; Rhiner & Slatkin).

Soap should be avoided because of its drying effects. Cool temperatures lower the itch threshold (Bosonnet, 2003). Lotions are useful in preventing dry skin, particularly in edematous areas. Loose cotton clothing is preferred over synthetics or wool because it allows better air exchange and decreases sweating that can potentiate itching (McConn, 1987). Talcum powder should be avoided, although cornstarch can be useful in reducing friction in skin fold areas, provided the skin is intact (McConn). Plain calamine lotion and topical steroids usually are not beneficial. However, crotamiton 10% may reduce itching. Relief has been reported with Sarna® (Stiefel Laboratories, Inc.) lotion, which promotes a sensation of cooling. Colloidal oatmeal baths also may provide soothing relief (McConn).

**Neurologic Complications**

Mental status changes can be a result of renal or hepatic failure. Uremic encephalopathy is caused by deterioration in the glomerular filtration rate. Lethargy is common, although mentation may improve after hemodialysis. Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) can range from mild to severe, and has been associated with elevations in serum ammonia levels (Han & Hyzy, 2006; Saria & Gosselin-Acomb, 2007). Ammonia is created by the breakdown of nitrogenous waste products in the intestine. Normally it is detoxified by the liver into urea and excreted by the kidneys. Although ammonia has been implicated as the primary source of HE, venous and arterial levels do not always correlate with the degree of encephalopathy observed, and new research suggests multifactorial causes (Faint, 2006; Shawcross & Jalan, 2005). Lactulose, a nonabsorbable disaccharide, continues to be the mainstay of treatment in reducing ammonia levels despite weak evidence supporting its efficacy (Faint). Medication clearance often is impaired with hepatic dysfunction, resulting in half-life prolongation. Patients requiring IV opiates or anxiolytics, such as lorazepam, should be monitored for confusion, sedation, or respiratory depression. Patients with mental status changes also are at high risk for aspiration pneumonia, particularly in light of coexisting mucositis and should, therefore, be assisted in performing daily oral hygiene and with oral intake.

**Psychosocial Problems**

Alterations in body image occur from ascites, edema, and changes in skin pigmentation. Severe edema can interfere with normal ADL. Skin color can range from yellow to dark tan depending on the patient’s natural pigmentation and bilirubin level. Because profound changes in body appearance often coincide with a decline in overall clinical status, families and patients will need multidisciplinary support, including nursing care, pastoral care, and social work services to assist with these physical changes in addition to possible end-of-life issues.

**Summary**

HSOS is a multifaceted, potentially life-threatening complication of myeloablative therapy. It has profound physiologic and psychosocial implications for patients who have undergone the rigors of hematopoietic stem cell transplant. Nursing care is extremely complex and challenging and requires a thorough understanding of pathophysiology and symptom management.
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